UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,843	07/30/2003	Haruhiko Suzuki	67336-013	6145
	7590 02/21/200 C WILL & EMERY LL	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			PHASGE, ARUN S	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20003-3090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	
<b>-</b>				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 02/21/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		•					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/629,843	SUZUKI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Arun S. Phasge	1753				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAS sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 De	<u>ecember 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	on of Claims		•				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-12,23,24 and 26-36</u> is/are pending i	n the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	) Claim(s) <u>8-12, 23, 24, 26-36</u> is/are rejected.						
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	The ball of declaration is objected to by the Ex	arminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
·	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	assess represented				

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Regarding claims 9, 24, 30, 33, 34, and 36 the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 8-12, 23-24, 26-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi in view of Japanese patent 9-276377 of record for reasons of record.

Takahashi discloses the claimed method and apparatus for treating sewage, which appears to be defined as wastewater or water containing contaminants, in a combined sewer system, where sewer system reads upon pipes, comprising the step of treating the sewage with an electrochemically produced activated oxygen (see page 4, sections [0044]-[0048]). The reference further discloses that the sewage, such as the claimed rainwater is stored in a rainwater-reservoir system before the electrochemical

Application/Control Number: 10/629,843

Art Unit: 1753

treatment (see page 7, section [0076]). The reference further discloses the control of the electrolytic process (see page 3, sections [0033-0040]).

The Takahashi patent appears to directly electrolyze the water and does not teach electrolyzing a portion, storing said portion and mixing the formed hypochlorous with the sewage using a pump at a pump station. The Suda reference discloses the use of removing a portion and electrolyzing said portion to form hypochlorous, which is mixed with the water in a sewer (see English abstract). The reference further discloses the reservoir or cistern as claimed with a filter (see figure and page 2 of the detailed description of the English translation). The Suda reference further discloses the use of a pump to move the electrolyte containing water through a pumping station (see figure and page 2 of the English translation).

Consequently, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of the Takahashi reference with the teachings of the Suda reference, because the Suda reference teaches the use of a portion of the water which is electrolyzed, stored and filtered and mixed with water in a sewer pipeline. To treat water at the outlet before discharge would have

been obvious given the disclosure of the Takahashi patent, which treats the water with the hypochlorous acid to sterilize the wastewater.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/1/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the claims that were objected were indicated as allowable. On the contrary, the claims were indicated as objected and a statement was made that the claims were not further treated on the merits.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 5

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753